Previous references.

1905-6
(Sep. Rev.)

Ex File No. 100/03-04
Serial Nos. 1 to 13

Later references.

S.R. 12/1905-6 85-1-6.
S.R. 6870/07 85 132

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B. for January 06

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Kamke Gowda Contractor of toddy shops Sondalgere, Kunigal Tk. in re sums due on his licenses in 1902-03

G. R. S. 58

FILE No. 10 of 1903-04.

Appeal of Kambegowda, Contractor of Toddy Shops, Sondalagere, Kunigal Taluk, in re sums due on his licenses in 1902-03.

1 From Kambegowda, Contractor of Toddy Shops, Sondalagere, Kunigal Taluk, petition Registered No. 1827 R., dated the 13th February 1904.
States that one Annagowda, a contractor in Mandya Taluk, had opened a toddy shop very near the petitioner's shop in direct contravention of Excise Rules, that he continued to sell toddy at rates cheaper than those prescribed from September 1902 to June 1903 and that he was therefore obliged to close his shop for nine months; appeals for these reasons, against the order of the Excise Commissioner, No. 1240, dated the 3rd December 1903, directing the appellant to pay Rs. 380 towards the sum due on his licenses for these months, and requests that the execution of the order may be stayed in the meanwhile.

2 To the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, No. 570—Ex., dated the 16th February 1904.
Directs the stay of the execution till final orders are passed and forwards the petition for the submission of a report with the records of the case.

3 From Kambegowda, Toddy Contractor, Sondalagere, Kunigal Taluk, Regd. No. 2066 R., dated the 8th March 1904.
Applies for copies of certain records said to have been submitted to Government in connection with his petition *in re* his toddy shop in Bandahalli.

4 To Kambegowda, Toddy Shop Contractor, Sondalagere, Kunigal Taluk, No. 626—Ex., dated 22nd March 1904.
Informs that his petition has been forwarded to the Excise Commissioner for report with the records of the case and that his prayer for the supply of copies of certain records cannot be considered.

5 From the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, No. 8494—344, dated the 26th April 1904.
Submits the report called for in Serial 2 and states that on complaint made by the petitioner a regular enquiry was held by the Excise Assistant Commissioner and that the order for the recovery of arrears was passed only when it appeared that there was no valid ground for the complaint.

---

6 To the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, No. 758—Ex., dated the 20th May 1904.
Calls for the records of the case alluded to in the above letter.

7 From the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, No. 8748—346, dated the 23rd May 1904.
Forwards the entire records of the case.

8 From Kambegowda, Toddy Shop Contractor, Sondalagere, Kunigal Taluk, Regd. No. 2821—R., dated the 15th June 1904.
Prays that he may be informed of the date of the hearing of his appeal.

9 To Kambegowda, Toddy Shop Contractor.
Informs that as his petition is not a case of appeal in which the party is heard, his appeal will be disposed of on its merits by the perusal or the records of the case.

10 To the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, No. Fl. 587—S. R. 10-03, dated the 29th July 1904.
Returns the records received with his letter (Serial 5); observes that while it appears from records that the Deputy Commissioner was instructed to hold further enquiry, the Deputy Commissioner's reply is nowhere forthcoming and therefore requests him to submit a further report on the result of the above enquiry and as to whether the petitioner complained at once of the opening of the new shop.

11 To the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, No. Fl. 1258, dated the 30th August 1904.
Forwards for disposal two petitions received from Kambegowda of Sondalagere, praying for copies of certain orders connected with his appeal.

12 From the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, No. 301, dated the 9th December 1905.
States that the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore has reported that the petitioner's complaint is not well founded and that the earliest complaint of the petitioner regarding the alleged opening of the new shop was made to the Excise Inspector on the 12th January 1903, and to the Deputy Commissioner on the 15th February 1903.

13 To Kambegowda, No. Fl. 4272, dated the 8th January 1906.
Rejects the petition as it is clear from the records that the shop said to have been newly opened is reported not to have existed for nine months as alleged in the petition, and observes that as this shop was about two and a half miles from that of the petitioner, the sale in the former did not affect that in the latter and that the petitioner therefore has no valid grievance.

No. Fl. 4273, dated the 8th January 1906.
Copy to the Excise Commissioner in Mysore and returns the enclosures received with his letter (Serial 12).

Eq. file no. 60-1903-04

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

---

‡ **Subject.** 1. Appeal Petn. Regd. No. 1822 & 1823 of 1904 from Kambe Gowda licensee of the toddy shop of Bandakalle & Attea licensee in Tumkur Taluk, Tumkur District against the order* of the Commr. No 1240. dt 3/12/03.

The Petrs state that Chic Anne Gowda a toddy contractor in the Nandya Taluk opened a toddy shop at Hebbalalu about a furlong from the Petrs shop at Bandakalle and sold toddy at a cheaper rate; that the petrs had therefore to close his shops for nine months, consequently for this period he did not

---

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

having to consult this question to
the Excise Commr. Commissioner
measures are
said to have been adopted
& his application are
advertised for sale on
the 18th [illegible]. His letter
to the Excise Commr. has
been rejected, stating
that it is not maintainable.
The present letter is an
appeal against the orders
of the Ex. Commr. dated 3/12/03.
The Petr. prays for orders for the stay
of proceedings.

Draft ruled. The Excise Commr. states in the Endt. issued on 3.12.03 that the Dy. Commr. Mysore Dt. has been asked to take action against the Mandya Taluk Contractor for violating the terms of his license, ~~but~~ he seems to hold that the action complained of, of the Mandya contractor did not in any way affect the Petitioners interests.

True? 16/2/04

Subd. to the Offg. Secretary
16/2/04 Subd to the 2nd Cr. [illegible] 16/2/04

Issue the order staying the sale [illegible] 16/2/04

D.E.

Draft [illegible] calling for report & [illegible] [illegible] 16/2/0[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

8 3

‡ **Subject.** Petition No 2066 of 8-3-'04 received from one Kambe Gowda, toddy-shop contractor, residing in the village of Sondelgere, Kunigal Taluk.

* **Note.**

The petitioner prays that he may be furnished with copies of certain records which, he says, he learns have been submitted to this office in connection with the disposal of his appeal vide the arrears due by him on account of the toddy shop in the Inam village of Bandahally and states that he has presented with the petition Re 1, being the cost of the copies prayed for. The Petition branch has made a note on the petition that no such sum has been received.

The Petitioner further prays that he may be be given notice

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

of the date fixed for hearing
his said appeal, filed on the
13th Feb. '04.

The appeal petition submitted
by Kamba Jorda on the 13th Feb '04
was forwarded to the Excise Comm-
-missioner on the 16th Ultimo,
with this office memo No 570,
dated 16/2/04 - for favour of an
early report with the record of
the case. The Excise Commr
has not yet complied with
this request. No records, as
alleged, have been received here

Submitted for orders.

MG.
19.3.04

Inform pet^r
accdgly & say that no
copies can be granted under the
circumstances

21/3/04

Endorsement-
2062 dt 22/3/04
was accordingly issued
to the Petnr. 22/3/04. MG.

Note Continued

On the 9th of March last
Petn No 2083 dt 9.3.04 was again
received from this individual.

As already an endorsement had been franked to him, this petition was ordered to be filed.

He has now submitted another petition No 2258 & 2/4/24 representing the same grievance as was done in his original petition No 1827 & 13/2/24 as in his subsequent petitions, and requesting ~~& to be~~ informed of the date of the hearing of his appeal & to be furnished with copies of certain records alleged to have been filed in connection with the ~~his~~ referred to

The Excise Commr has not yet submitted his report on the appeal petition of the Petitioner, forwarded to him for the purpose on the 16th February last.

A reminder to the Excise Commissioner calling on him to expedite the submission of the report is put up.

Subd:-
MK. 19.4.24

The reminder was despatched the same day. vide No 699 & 19.4.24 on MK

(note contd). 6

Letter No 8496/384 dt 26-4-04 from the Special Commissioner in Mysore, reporting on the petition of Kamble Gowda, licensee of Bandahally Abkari shop in the Kunigal Taluk. 8 & 5

Note The above was received in reply to this office memorandum No 570/Ex dt 16-Feb-1904. The records of the case, which were called for and are stated in the letter under reference to have been forwarded have not been received.

The Special Commissioner reports that the allegations made by the Petitioner are groundless. His reasons are to be found in the letter under reference. If the records are again called for, they may be examined before passing final orders on the claim.

Draft calling for the records submitted.

Mr 19-5-04 Note the draft is hereby approved. 5 & 6.

I enclose my cheque for Rs 20 in favour of the Treasurer of Kolar District in repayment of the deposit made by the petitioner on the presentation of the petition.

Ex Commr's Office 19/5/04

8 Petition no. 2821 dt. 5/6/04. from Kambe Gowda. of Gondalagere, Kunigal M., praying for the issue of a notice regarding the date of hearing of his appeal.

Kambe Gowda desires that a notice intimating the date of hearing of his appeal, regarding the ~~balance~~ arrears due by him to Govt, may be sent to him. He has ~~put~~ put in 3074 petns regarding this.

A draft, stating that his appeal petn, not being an appeal case in which the party is going to be heard, will be dealt with on its merits by a perusal of the records of the case, is put up.

Subd for orders.

The draft passed
9/7.
9.7.04

8 9. To Kambe Gowda No 7440. dt 20/7/04

Informing that his appeal petn. not being an appeal case in which the party is heard will be disposed of on its merits by the perusal of the records of the case

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 10 of 1903 04.

Serial No. 4 7

Excise Comm's nos 8494/834 & 8248/346 dt the 26/4/04 & 21/5/04 respectively. Submits the record connected with Kamlee Sowda's appeal.

The two points alleged by the petitioner in his several petitions as affecting his interests as licensee of Bandahalli and Kodavatti shops in the Kunigal Tk are :—

1. That the licensee of the Hebbeelalu shop, Maudya M, had without permission opened a new shop about a furlong from the shop at Bandahalli.

2. That the change of the locality of the Kirangut shop, Maudya M, affected the sales in the Kodavatti shop.

On account of these two mentioned changes the petitioner states that he had to close his shops for 9 months in the year, and was put to great loss, and so he has nothing to pay but that heis

Z.

y

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10 000—19-5-04

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 10 of 1903-04

Serial No.

is entitled to a remission

The shops referred to are near the frontiers of the Kunigal & Nandydrug Tks. The opinions of the Officers in charge of both the taluks are on record.

The first petition was ~~submitted~~ sent to the Inspector of the Kunigal Range.

X His report dt 20/2/03 shows some irregularity on the part of the licensees of the shops in the

W Nandydrug Tk. The Amildars dt 8/3/03 also show that a new shop had been opened ~~at~~ without authority near the Bandahalli toddy shop. But there ~~is~~ are in the Amildars and reports of Excise Inspectors conflicting views as to the distance between the two shops, which seems to be a material point to be taken into account

Mr Nathan, the Excise Asst Commr, Nagara Dt, ~~states~~ personally inspected the

V shops on 31/7/03 and submitted a clear report. He states that the new shop at Hebbalalu

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10 000—19-5-04

G.R.S. 12]

eneral and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 60 of 1903-04

Serial No.

was open on only one day, viz. a fatra day and it was removed about a furlong from the manor site; for this act the licensee was duly punished. In the Manager it is reported that the shop had been kept open for 20 or 25 days. But the change could not have affected the petitioner inasmuch as the shop at Bandahalli was abut 2½ miles from the shop at Hebbalahalli

Memo dated 9/11/03 U 9/11/03

With regard to the 2nd point viz about the Kirangut shop, the old site seems to have been in the holigere of the village and it was changed to its present locality, viz a furlong outside the village, two years ago. This change could not have interfered with the sales in the Kodavatti shop, belonging to the petitioner, as the distance was about a mile from the Kirangut shop and the rates on both sides of the frontier were the same.

The Excise Commr states that the ~~petitioner~~ petitioner has no valid grievance,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 60 of 1903-4

Serial No.

D

He has to pay to Govt Rs 380 and coercive measures have been taken. But the Govt have ordered that the sale of the property may be suspended pending the decision of the case.

Subd for orders of the Secy

Mr. 14/7. 15/7/04

Lay before the 1st Councillor

&

16/7/1904

8/7.
16-7-04.

The Petitioner has no valid grievance. There was some slight irregularity but this did not prejudicially affect the Petitioner in the manner alleged. There are no grounds for interference with the order passed by the Excise Commissioner. The petition may be rejected & the order directing stay of coercive proceedings withdrawn.

Q.

Submitted to the Dewan 18/7/04.

Saheb for orders Q

18/7/1904 Mr 19/7/04

32 b/19.7.04

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10 000—19-5-04

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ex File No. 60 of 1903

Serial No.

From Kanara forwarding petition for copies of certain orders connected with his appeal.

12 From the Excise Comr
No 301. of the 9th. Dec. 1903.
Stating that the Dy. Comr of Mysore has reported that the Petitioners Complaint is not well founded, and that the earlier Complaint of the petr regarding the alleged opening of the new Shop was made to the Excise Inspector on the 12th. January 1903 & the one made to the Dy. Comr was on the 15th. Feby. 1903.
The Complaint is that the new shops were opened in September 1902. This was not reported to the authorities by the Petr till January 1903. The next petn was addressed to the Dy. Comr on the 15th. February 1903. And 3 more petitions were presented in May 1903 i.e. after the close of the lease. evidently to evade the payment of the arrears due from him. It is also established that the Petr

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

Serial No.

in the petn; and that as this shop was about 2½ miles from the petr's shop the sale in the former did not affect the sale in the latter, and that the petr had therefore no valid grievance.

To Merqueire Comer.
No H 4273, & the 8th Jany 06
Endorsement forwarding copy of the above & returning the enclosures received with his Sl No 12

Please see if any appeal petn was presented by petr or by his pleader (as alleged by petr personally) Nagappa about a fortnight ago.

16.2.04

16/2 Subd

16.2.04

Petitioner now produces the subsistence issued to him by the Excise Commr dated 3.12-03, & says that Nagappa assured him that he petn his appeal on 17th or 18th January 1904.

Transferred to the Excise Branch

16/2/04.

Exc. Br.

Bring this up today with reference to office note today, as Pr. prays for early orders for stay of execution, the sale being fixed for 18th inst.

16/2/04

Subd to 24/2

16/2/04

Sep Rev 60 of 03-04

E-332

16/2/04 1904

No. 1827

Name of Petitioner Kumbargowda Contractor of Toddy Shops

Village in Nedasala residing in Londalagere"

Taluk Kunigal

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 13/2/04

Date of Receipt 13/2/04

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

This is an appeal against the Excise Comrs order No 1260 of 3/12/03 directing the payment of Rs 380/- towards the sum due on petrs licenses.

States that one Annagowda the Contractor in Mandagere had opened toddy shops near petitioners shops & continued to sell toddy at lower rate that the petr was closed the shops for some months for the above reason and complained of offence committed by the

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

Neil Armagh, Lent
Court (re-leased Dk Bomb on
the 3rd December/03 by one year
18 months after the offence complained of
& long after the petitioner could
right to receive any benefit
of this contract.

Perhaps that the reasons in
the matter be called for, that
the order appealed be set aside
or that meanwhile the
execution of the said order
be stayed.

Cuf
16/2/04



3

Rec'd To Pet Br 13/2/06

Two Refs & statement

L. Ananthaswamy Roo Esq B.A.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General & Revenue Department

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat
Petition Department
Reg'd No 1827
Date 13-2-1906

1827

The humble Petitioner Kambe-Gowda Contractor of Toddy Shops in Nedasela in the village of Sondalagere, Huthreedurg Samath, Kunigal Taluq, Tumkur District begs to appeal against the order of the Excise Commissioner No 1240 dated 3rd December 1903 requiring the appellant to pay Rs380/- towards the sum due on his licenses for the following reasons:—

I That the Excise Commissioner admits indirectly that Anna Gowda (through his Vendors Obiah ~~Gowda~~ Gida) the contractor of all Toddy Shops in Mandya Taluq had opened Toddy Shop at Heballalu at a distance of a furlong from the Bandahalli Toddy Shop in which the Appellant had a right to sell. He opened the said shop in direct infringement of Excise Rules & continued to sell the toddy at a much lower rate than the price fixed from — September 1902 to June 1903 through many complaints were preferred by the Appellant without any effect

The Excise Inspector made enquiries on 5th & 19th March 1903, and also this year on the 10th February 1904 & reported that the alleged opening of the said new shop is true & the appellant had suffer great loss.

II That the appellant closed the said shop for 9 months (from September 1902 to June 1903.), as will be seen from the Toddy trees Register which will shoee that the appellant has not tapped any trees during the above period; and he had tapped only 15 trees for the whole year 1902-1903. [Trees tapped for the preceding year 1901 02, being 180).

III That the appellant submits that by the contract entered into by the Government with the appellant, the Government impliedly undertakes not to do or suffer anything to be done, whereby the appellant will lose the full benefit of the Contract; & in the present case, the Government have not taken any steps, which they were bound to take, to prevent the offender on the 3rd December 1903, ~~viz one year~~ as of 2 - two, after ~~4 offence~~ Commencing the said offence

Sep. Rev. 10/2/2004

St. 2.

No 570/Ex

Dt 16/2/04

Memo.

Petn pertg no. 1827/Ex 332/

dt 13/10 debty gdt. from

Kanuke goods, licensee

of Randelaki &

others today also

in the Kunigal Taluk,

Tumkur Dist), for refund

of pleadic (amount

the order of the

Excise Commissioner

dt 3/11/03 no. 1240

passed in the petn

in the matter of

the loss said to have

been sustained by

him by accident

(1) Please decide (the attached
list) whether the Court ~~rule~~
~~costs~~ should be taken over
the case & the proceedings
of the assessment should be given
to Court 1 is forwarded
to the Electricity Commissioner
in response to a
freedom of ~~enquiry~~
enquiry request in the
file received of the
Case. ~~Further~~ to decide if the proceedings

2. Proceedings in the
~~matter~~ may be
suspended pending
final order of Court
~~A copy of the telegram~~ the attachment of the same continuing to subsist
~~is sent to the Court~~
~~in the matter is issue~~
~~with the~~.

PCC

16/2/04

16/2/04

Public Prosecutor

Ex 368 of 19/3/04 Sep Rev 10/3

Sk 3

1904

No. 2066

Name of Petitioner Thumbay Gowda

Village Kondaleçre

Taluk Kumçal

District Kumbla

Date of Arzi 8/3/04

Date of Receipt 8/3/04

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

ABSTRACT

Applies for copies of the following records which the learns have been submitted to this Office in connection with the appe filed by the petr regarding toddy shop in Bendaladka village &c.

Copy of Mahazer taken by the assistant toddy Inspector on 5/3/03

&c — &c &c by the Range Inspector

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

Reference

&c

10-3-04

1827 of 1903 ಅನ್ವಯ ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರ

16-2-04 ರಂದು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಪತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ

12/3

Transferred to the Encumbrance in continuation.

&c

16-3-04

8/3/04

Read

3.3.Pm.

19.3.04

Mg.

Copper Markings taken
by Municipal Range
Inspector on 10/2/04

DO — — taken by
Inspector Carole A.
Inspector on August 03

4

by
10/3/04

Draft

Sep Rev. 10 of 1904 12

Sl 4

No. 626/64

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

Excise

~~PETITION~~ DEPARTMENT,

The 22nd March 1904

ENDORSEMENT GRANTED TO Kambe Gowda, toddy-shop contractor, residing in the neighbourhood of Sondalgere, Kunigal Taluk

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 2066 dated 8th March 1904, praying for copies of certain records.

Petitioner is informed that his appeal petition dated the 13th February 1904 has been forwarded to the Excise Commissioner ~~on the 16th ultimo with this office~~ memo No 575 dated 16th Feby '04 for report with the records of the case. The records having not yet been received in this office, ~~no copies can be granted as requested.~~ The question of granting him copies of certain records cannot be considered

Seen 21/3/04

Submitted
21.3.04

By Order,

Secretary

(5)

13

No. 8494
334.

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, dated 26th April 1904

From

P. N. Ramaiya Esquire B. A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

L. Anantasami Row Esquire B. A
Offg: Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your official Memorandum No. 570 Ex dated 16th February 1904, forwarding for report a petition from Kambegowda, licensee of Bandahalli and other toddy Shops in the Kunigal taluk com-plaining of the loss sustained by him in con-sequence of the opening of another Shop at Heb-balalu in the Mandya Taluk, and to submit* the entire record connected with the case.

2. The petitioner who took up the toddy Shops of the marginally noted farkas in the Kunigal Taluk for the year 1902-1903 failed to pay his c- Kists

* Returned 21/5/04.

Kadasale
Huliyurdurg
and
Bandahalli

Encld. to 17/5/04 Sep. to Bdy

Ex 5

1904

From P. S. Dewar Esquire Bo

Excise Commissioner

To L. Amedee Row Esquire B.A

Offg. Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore General and Revenue Department

Dated 26th
Despatched } April 1904.
Received

General No. 8494
No. 334.

Enclosure No.

Reporting on the petition of Kambe Gowda, licensee of Bandahally and other toddy shops in the Kunigal Taluk complaining of the loss sustained by him in consequence of the opening of another shop at Hebbalale in the Mandya Taluk, re-ceived with the Secretary's Memo No. 570 Exc dated 16th February 1904

MYSORE GOVERNMENT GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT. 1 MAY 1904

MGOPB—50 reams—6-12-02.

Kristo regularly, and when coercive measures were taken against him, he on 25th July 1903 complained to me that he had sustained loss owing to the opening of a new toddy shop at Hebbalalu, Mandya Taluk, and to the change of the locality of the toddy shop at Kirangur of the same taluk.

3. The petition was referred to the Excise Assistant Commissioner for report.

4. Mr. Matthan inspected the Shops of the Mandya taluk near the Kunigal frontier and after making local enquiries reported that no new shop had been opened in the Hebbalalu village, that the mamool shop which is about 2½ miles from the Bandahally toddy shop in the Kunigal taluk had always been kept in its appointed place except on one day "on which date "a jatra was held in the village and the toddy "shop was without permission removed about "a furlong from its mamool site" and it "was

deleted

detected the same day by the Assistant Inspector."

5. As regards the Shifting of the Kirangur Shop, the Excise Divisional Officer, Mysore stated that it had, as in the previous years, been kept on the Site Specified in the license though it was a furlong from the old site and that this difference could not certainly have affected the consumption of toddy in Kodavati Shop as the rates on both Sides of the frontier are the same."

6. As I could find no reasonable grounds for complaint or any ground for withholding payment of Khists, I ordered the recovery of the arrears due by the petitioner.

7. If the petitioner did not work his Shop at Bandahally, it was his own fault.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your Most obedient Servant

E. Gopalaiengar
for Excise Commissioner
&c &c in Mysore

Sep Rev 10 of 03-04

Sl 6.

Office Draft. (Docket.)

The Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat,
Revenue Branch.

To The Excise Commr.
in Mysore

Date of passing the draft ____

Date of despatch 20/5/04.

Regd. No. 758

File No. & date (if any) 54

No. of Encls. —

His letter No 8494 & 24/4/04,
334
reporting on the petition
of Kambe Gowda, li-
-censee of Bandahalli
& other toddy shops in the
Kunigal taluk, com-
-plaining of the loss
sustained by him in con-
sequence of the opening
of another shop at
Hebbalale in the
Nandya taluk

Referring to the last
2 lines of para 1 of the
above, has the honour
to state that the
record

record alluded to
herein has not been re-
-ceived here and to
request that he will
be so good as to in-
-struct that the same
may be forwarded
at once.

(2) It is further noted that
although the letter is dated
26/4/04 but left the
Office has received in the office
only on 12/5/04 i.e.
after more than 20 days
and by

By order

For Officer

M.
19.5.04

Reference

Ex 507 930/6/04.

1903

Sep. Rev. 10 of 03. Sl 8

No. 2821

Name of Petitioner Kanbe Gowda

Village Sondlapur

Taluk Kunigal

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 15-6-04

Date of Receipt 20.

Date of Disposal

No. of Encloser Nil.

ABSTRACT

Prays to be served with a notice intimating him of the date of hearing of his appeal case regarding the arrears levied by Govt. in connection with the Sendi Shop of Bandenalli Kunigal Tk.

21/6/04

MGCPB—1000—17-5-04

Sep Rev. 10 of 03 Pt

Sl 9

Endorsement

19

21

Sep. Rev
There is a file for this
Please place this in
that file

No 7L450 Dt 20th July '04
Sep. Rev. 10-03

With reference to his petn
no 2821, dt the 15/6/04, re-
questing to be informed
of the date of hearing of
his appeal petn, Kambee
gowda of Sondalgere, Kunigal
Tk, is informed that
his appeal petn, not being
an appeal case in which
the party is heard, will be
disposed of on its merits
by the perusal of the records
of the case.

By Order

917/04
for Asst Secy

copied 9/7/04
15/7

SR 132

1904. 24

Sep Rev 10 of 03

From Sl 11

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To

The Excise Commr in Mysore.

Dated

Despatched 30 August 1904

Received

General No. Fl 1258

E47 No. 10-03.

ENCLOSURE No. 2 petns

In continuation of this office no Fl 587 / SR 10-03 of ki 29/7/04 has the honour to forward herewith for disposal and Kind return when (Cross 577 & 621 done with) two petitions from Kambé Gowda of Sondalgere, licensee of certain toddy shops in the Kunigal Tk, praying for copies of certain orders connected with his appeal. BO

16/8/04

for Secy

G. R. S. 29A

1905

FROM

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 23rd March 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 467
No.

Enclosure No.

His Docket no. 4277/176 dated
15th November 1903

—//—

Has the honor to state in reply that the Complaint of Kambegowda, Toddy farmer of Huliyardurg, referred to in the above was enquired into by the Excise Divisional Officer, Mysore, who reports that the said Complaint is not well founded.

2. The Vernacular records anent this Complaint have been returned to the

Deputy

8

Deputy Commissioner Juneau District, with
this Office yadi no 589 dated 23-3 1905.

(Sd) H. H. Sparkes
Deputy Commissioner
Juneau District

"Copy"

Respectfully
for Recorder Commissioner
[illegible]

1905

FROM

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq.
Deputy Commissioner
Kunkur District

To

P. N. Purnanya Esq. B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 7th November 1905

Despatched

Received

General No.
M No. 562

Enclosure No. 2 files

Excise Commissioner's docket no. 38, dated the 16th August 05, requiring to be informed if Kambegowda complained at once of the opening of the new shop and continued his complaint and of the approximate date of the opening of the shop and the dates on which he complained

---

In returning the Vernacular files received with the above, has the honor to state that the report, dated the 22nd ultimo received from the Excise Inspector of the Kunigal Range

30

Range is attached to file no. II. The dates of the petitions addressed to several officers by Kanthegowda are as follows:—

(I) 12 / 1903. To the Excise Inspector of the Kunigal Range, Vide paper marked no. 1 in red ink of file I

(II) 15-2-1903. To the Dept. Commissioner, Tumkur District, Vide paper marked no. 4 in red ink of file I

(III) 6. 7-1903. — do — do — Vide paper no. 1 of file II.

(IV) 17. 7-1903. — do — do — Vide paper no. 3 of file II and

(V) 25-7-1903. To the Excise Commissioner in Mysore Vide paper 1 of file I.

2. There is nothing on the record, to corroborate the allegation made by Kanthegowda in his petition dated 6th July 1903, that the new shops at Hebbalalu and Kirangur were opened from 1st September 1902; but it has been recorded that, when the Assistant Inspector proceeded to Hebbalalu on the 5th March 1903, he found the vending of toddy in a place near the Bandihalli Shop (Vide Mahazar no. 15 of file I). The Mahazar drawn up on 19th March 1905 (Paper no. 16 of the same file) also makes mention of the vending of toddy in the same place having been observed by the Range Inspector. No record is forthcoming to ascertain the approximate dates of the opening of the shops.

(Sd) Rayalu Chetty
For Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Copy alleged
For Excise Commissioner
Mysore

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 10-03

Serial No 13

Diary No. of letter replied to 2547

No. H 4272

General and Rev. Secretariat
(S. R.)
, *the* 8 January 1906.

Date of issue —do—

Signed by W Satyanarayana

over.

SUBJECT.

32

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by